



TITLE:

# On the Regularity of the Power Language of a Regular Language : Extended abstract (Algorithms in Algebraic Systems and Computation Theory)

AUTHOR(S):

Horvath, Sandor

---

CITATION:

Horvath, Sandor. On the Regularity of the Power Language of a Regular Language : Extended abstract (Algorithms in Algebraic Systems and Computation Theory). 数理解析研究所講究録 2002, 1268: 156-157

ISSUE DATE:

2002-06

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/42148>

RIGHT:

# On the Regularity of the Power Language of a Regular Language

(Extended abstract)

Sándor HORVÁTH

Department of Computer Science,  
Eötvös Loránd University  
Pázmány Péter sétány 1/c.,  
H-1117 Budapest, Hungary  
e-mail: horvath@cs.elte.hu

In 1996, *H. Calbrix* introduced the following notion. For an arbitrary language  $L \subseteq X^*$ , let us define the *power language* of  $L$ , in symbols  $\text{powlan}(L)$ , as follows:

$$\text{powlan}(L) := \{w^k : w \in L, k \in \mathbb{N}\} = \bigcup_{w \in L} w^*$$

(where  $w^0 = \lambda$ , the *empty word*,  $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ ).

Concerning this notion, Calbrix posed – and left open – the following problem.

*Calbrix' Decision Problem (1996):* Can we (algorithmically) decide for an arbitrary regular grammar  $G$ , whether  $\text{powlan}(L(G))$  is regular, too?

This problem is *far from being trivial*: Let, e.g.,  $L := a^+b$  (regular), then

$$\text{powlan}(L) = \{(a^k b)^m : k \geq 1, m \geq 0\},$$

*non-context-free*. Furthermore, *even the case of a one-letter alphabet is nontrivial*: putting

$$L := \{a^{3+2n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

(regular), we have

$$\text{powlan}(L) = \{a^{(3+2n)l} : n, l \in \mathbb{N}\} = \{a^k : k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{2^m : m \geq 1\}\},$$

again *non-context-free*.

In 2001, *T. Cachat* gave a *positive answer* to Calbrix' problem *in the one-letter case*, in his paper in the proceedings of the conference *DLT'2001 (Developments in Language Theory, 2001)* (in Vienna, Austria, July, 2001). In this (13-page) paper, even *Dirichlet's famous, deep theorem* (that if

$\gcd(k, l) = 1$ , then in the sequence  $k, k + l, k + 2l, \dots$ , there are infinitely many primes), is used.

In what follows, we prove some starting results for the case  $|X| \geq 2$ .

**Proposition 1:** The set of linear grammars  $G$ , for which  $\text{powlan}(L(G))$  is deterministic context-free or regular, respectively, is not recursively enumerable.

For our next result, we recall the notion of the *primitive root* of a word  $x$ , in symbols,  $\text{root}(x)$ , which in case  $x \neq \lambda$ , equals the (uniquely existing) *primitive word*  $y$  for which  $x \in y^+$ , and in case  $x = \lambda$  it equals  $\lambda$ . (A *primitive word* is a nonempty word which is no power of a shorter word.) The word function  $\text{root}$  is extended from words to languages in the usual way.

**Proposition 2:** It is decidable for an arbitrary regular grammar  $G$ , whether

(1) " $\text{root}(L(G))$  is finite?",

and, in the case of a positive answer to question (1), it is also decidable, whether

(2) " $\text{powlan}(L(G))$  is regular?"

Concerning the proof of Proposition 2 we mention that the decidability of (1) is proved in the following paper:

*Horváth, S. and Ito, M.;*

Decidable and Undecidable Problems of Primitive Words, Regular and Context-Free Languages, *JUCS (Journal of Universal Computer Science)*, 5 (1999), pp. 532-541.

In this paper, in case of a "yes" to (1), even the elements of the (finite)  $\text{root}(L(G))$  are constructed. Then, treating these primitive roots as single letters, we can, by applying Cachet's above mentioned result about the one-letter case, also obtain an effective answer to question (2).

In our last result we will use the notion of a *polyslender language*, recently introduced by *P. Dömösi* and *M. Mateescu*. A language  $L \subseteq X^*$  is called polyslender iff there is a polynomial  $p$  with coefficients from  $N$  and with positive main coefficient such that,

$$\text{for every } n \in N, |L \cap X^n| \leq p(n).$$

Now we formulate our last result.

**Proposition 3:** Let  $L \subseteq X^*$  be an arbitrary infinite, polyslender language (otherwise  $L$  even need not be recursively enumerable). Then  $L$  is non-regular.